No.



8200184

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

BOALL TO WHOM THESE PRESENTS SHALL COME:

Roninklijk Kweekbedrijf en

Zaadhandel-D. J. van der Have B.V.

Wilherens, there has been presented to the

Secretary of Agriculture

AN APPLICATION REQUESTING A CERTIFICATE OF PROTECTION FOR AN ALLEGED NOVEL VARIETY OF SEXUALLY REPRODUCED PLANT, THE NAME AND DESCRIPTION OF WHICH ARE CONTAINED IN THE APPLICATION AND EXHIBITS, A COPY OF WHICH IS HEREUNTO ANNEXED AND MADE A PART HEREOF, AND THE VARIOUS REQUIREMENTS OF LAW IN SUCH CASES MADE AND PROVIDED HAVE BEEN COMPLIED WITH, AND THE TITLE THERETO IS, FROM THE RECORDS OF THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE, IN THE APPLICANT(S) INDICATED IN THE SAID COPY, AND WHEREAS, UPON DUE EXAMINATION MADE, THE SAID APPLICANT(S) IS (ARE) ADJUDGED TO BE ENTITLED TO A CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW.

NOW, THEREFORE, THIS CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION IS TO GRANT UNTO THE SAID APPLICANT(S) AND THE SUCCESSORS, HEIRS OR ASSIGNS OF THE SAID APPLINT(S) FOR THE TERM OF eighteen. YEARS FROM THE DATE OF THIS GRANT, SUBJECT THE PAYMENT OF THE REQUIRED FEES AND PERIODIC REPLENISHMENT OF VIABLE BASIC OF THE VARIETY IN A PUBLIC REPOSITORY AS PROVIDED BY LAW, THE RIGHT TO EXOTHERS FROM SELLING THE VARIETY, OR OFFERING IT FOR SALE, OR REPRODUCING IT, PRINT OF THEREFROM, TO THE EXTENT PROVIDED BY THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION ACT 142, AS AMENDED, 7 U.S.C. 2321 ET SEQ.)

PERENNIAL RYEGRASS

'Ranger'

In Lestimony Wathercot, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the seal of the Plant Taxisty Protection Office to be affixed at the City of Washington

this 25th day of July in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and eighty-bour.

In R Block Secretary of Agriculture

Steest Klassel VII, to Commissioner Plant Variety Protection Office

Plant Variety Protection Offic Livestock, Meal, Grain & Seed Agricultural Marketing Service

 $Q \sim X \times Q \times X \times Q \times X$

	U.S. DEPARTMENT AGRICULTURAL M. LIVESTOCK. MEAT GI	ARKETING SER	VICE		RM APPROVED: OMB NO		
		APPLICATION FOR PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION CERTIFICATE			No certificate for plant variety protectio may be issued unless a completed appl		
_	APPLICATION FOR PLANT VARI	CTION CERTIFICATE		n form has been receive			
	1. NAME OF APPLICANT(S) Koninklijk Kweekbedrijf en Zaa	dhandel	2. TEMPORARY DESIGNAT	ION 3. V	ARIETY NAME		
	D.J. van der Have B.V.	•	HE 129		R A N G 1	E R	
	4. ADDRESS (Street and No. or R.F.D. No., City, Sta P.O. Box 1	te, and Zip Code	5. PHONE (Include area code,		FOR OFFICIAL USE O	NLY	
	4420 AA Kapelle Netherlands		1135 - 1254	PVP	O NUMBER	•	
_					8200184		
(6. GENUS AND SPECIES NAME	7. FAMILY NA	ME (Botanical)	U	9/22/82		
	Lolium perenne		-	FILING	TIME 11:30 X A.M.	- — — — Пр.м.	
	B. KIND NAME	9	. DATE OF DETERMINATION		AMOUNT FOR FILING		
	Perennial ryegrass		1975	RECEIVED	\$		
		. 1		ig l	9/22/82		
	10. IF THE APPLICANT NAMED IS NOT A "PERSO	N " GIVE FORM	OF ORGANIZATION (Corpora	tion, E	AMOUNT FOR CERTIF	ICATE	
	partnership, association, etc.)			EES	\$ 250.00		
	Corporation			Ţ.	5/30/84		
1	11. IF INCORPORATED, GIVE STATE OF INCORPO	DRATION		12.	DATE OF INCORPORATI	ION	
	Netherlands	*			8th March 1973		
1	6802 Orem Drive Maryland 2081 Laurel 2076 4. CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX FOR EACH ATTAC	CHMENT SUBMI	- Fyhihit C Ohiace	ive Descript	tion of the Variety (Reque	st form	
1	Laurel 2.76 4. CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX FOR EACH ATTAC a. Exhibit A, Origin and Breeding History of the Section 52 of the Plant Variety Protection Act	CHMENT SUBMI	c. X Exhibit C, Object from Plant Variet	y Protection		st form	
1	Laurel 2.76 4. CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX FOR EACH ATTAC	CHMENT SUBMI	c. X Exhibit C, Object from Plant Variet	y Protection	tion of the Variety (Reque n Office.) ption of the Variety	st form	
٠	Laurel 2.76 4. CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX FOR EACH ATTAC a. Exhibit A, Origin and Breeding History of the Section 52 of the Plant Variety Protection Act	CHMENT SUBMI e Variety (See ct.)	c. X Exhibit C, Object from Plant Varies d. X Exhibit D, Addition	onal Descri	n Office.) ption of the Variety Y AS A CLASS OF CERT	IFIED	
	Laurel 2.76 4. CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX FOR EACH ATTAC a. Exhibit A, Origin and Breeding History of the Section 52 of the Plant Variety Protection Ac b. Exhibit B, Novelty Statement 5. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT SEEL	CHMENT SUBMI e Variety (See ct.) D OF THIS VAR ofection Act.)	c. X Exhibit C, Object from Plant Varies d. X Exhibit D, Additional Section of the Company of t	onal Descri	n Office.) ption of the Variety Y AS A CLASS OF CERT	IFIED X No	
1	Laurel 2.76 4. CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX FOR EACH ATTAC a. Exhibit A, Origin and Breeding History of the Section 52 of the Plant Variety Protection Acc b. Exhibit B, Novelty Statement 5. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT SEED SEED? (See Section 83(a) of the Plant Variety Protection Acc 6. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT THIS LIMITED AS TO NUMBER OF GENERATIONS? Yes No	CHMENT SUBMI e Variety (See ct.) D OF THIS VAR etection Act.)	c. X Exhibit C, Object from Plant Variet d. X Exhibit D, Addition ETY BE SOLD BY VARIETY N Yes (If "Yes," and BEYOND BREEDER Foundation	onal Descri	ption of the Variety Y AS A CLASS OF CERT 6 and 17 below) CLASSES OF PRODUCT egistered	IFIED X No	
1	Laurel 2.76 4. CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX FOR EACH ATTAC a. Exhibit A, Origin and Breeding History of the Section 52 of the Plant Variety Protection Acc b. Exhibit B, Novelty Statement 5. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT SEED SEED? (See Section 83(a) of the Plant Variety Protection Acc 6. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT THIS LIMITED AS TO NUMBER OF GENERATIONS? Yes No 8. DID THE APPLICANT(S) FILE FOR PROTECTIONS THE APPLICANT(S) FILE FOR PROTECTIONS TO Netherlands 78-1-6 Germ	CHMENT SUBMI e Variety (See ct.) D OF THIS VAR etection Act.) S VARIETY BE ON OF THE VAR lany 79-12	c. X Exhibit C, Object from Plant Variet d. X Exhibit D, Additional Section 17. If "Yes," and BEYOND BREEDER Foundation Sweden 79–12–	onal Descri	ption of the Variety Y AS A CLASS OF CERT 6 and 17 below) CLASSES OF PRODUCT egistered	IFIED No TON Certified	
1	Laurel 2.76 4. CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX FOR EACH ATTAC a. Exhibit A, Origin and Breeding History of the Section 52 of the Plant Variety Protection Acc b. Exhibit B, Novelty Statement 5. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT SEED SEED? (See Section 83(a) of the Plant Variety Protection Acc 6. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT THIS LIMITED AS TO NUMBER OF GENERATIONS? Yes No 8. DID THE APPLICANT(S) FILE FOR PROTECTIONS	CHMENT SUBMI e Variety (See ct.) D OF THIS VAR otection Act.) S VARIETY BE DN OF THE VAR lany 79-12	c. X Exhibit C, Object from Plant Variet d. X Exhibit D, Additional Section 17. If "Yes," and BEYOND BREEDER Foundation Sweden 79–12–	onal Descri	ption of the Variety Y AS A CLASS OF CERT 16 and 17 below) CLASSES OF PRODUCT egistered ES? Y es (If "Yes	IFIED X No TON Certified	
1 1	Laurel 2.76 4. CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX FOR EACH ATTAC a. Exhibit A, Origin and Breeding History of the Section 52 of the Plant Variety Protection Acc b. Exhibit B, Novelty Statement 5. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT SEED SEED? (See Section 83(a) of the Plant Variety Protection Acc 6. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT THIS LIMITED AS TO NUMBER OF GENERATIONS? Yes No 8. DID THE APPLICANT(S) FILE FOR PROTECTIONS THE APPLICANT(S) FILE FOR PROTECTIONS TO Netherlands 78-1-6 Germ	CHMENT SUBMICE Variety (See ct.) DOF THIS VAR Detection Act.) S VARIETY BE DN OF THE VAR DANY 79-12 DATE 79-12	c. X Exhibit C, Object from Plant Varies d. X Exhibit D, Addition IETY BE SOLD BY VARIETY N Yes (If "Yes," and BEYOND BREEDER Foundation IETY IN THE U.S. OR OTHER -12 Sweden 79-12-1	onal Descri	ption of the Variety Y AS A CLASS OF CERT 16 and 17 below) CLASSES OF PRODUCT egistered ES? Yes (If "Yes, of countries a	IFIED No TON Certified " give name and dates)	
1 1	Laurel 2.76 4. CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX FOR EACH ATTAC a. Exhibit A, Origin and Breeding History of the Section 52 of the Plant Variety Protection Ac b. Exhibit B, Novelty Statement 5. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT SEEL SEED? (See Section 83(a) of the Plant Variety Pro 6. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT THIS LIMITED AS TO NUMBER OF GENERATIONS? Yes No 8. DID THE APPLICANT(S) FILE FOR PROTECTION Netherlands 78-1-6 Germ United Kingdom 77-11-25 Denm	CHMENT SUBMICE Variety (See ct.) DOF THIS VAR Detection Act.) S VARIETY BE DN OF THE VAR DANY 79-12 DATE 79-12	c. X Exhibit C, Object from Plant Varies d. X Exhibit D, Addition IETY BE SOLD BY VARIETY N Yes (If "Yes," and BEYOND BREEDER Foundation IETY IN THE U.S. OR OTHER -12 Sweden 79-12-1	onal Descri	ption of the Variety Y AS A CLASS OF CERT 6 and 17 below) CLASSES OF PRODUCT egistered Yes (If "Yes, of countries a of countries a	IFIED X No TON Certified " give name and dates) " give name	
1 1	Laurel 2.76 4. CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX FOR EACH ATTAC a. Exhibit A, Origin and Breeding History of the Section 52 of the Plant Variety Protection Acc b. Exhibit B, Novelty Statement 5. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT SEED SEED? (See Section 83(a) of the Plant Variety Proceedings of the Plant Variety Proceedings of the Plant Variety Proceeding As TO NUMBER OF GENERATIONS? Yes No 8. DID THE APPLICANT(S) FILE FOR PROTECTIONS (See Section 878-1-6 Germ United Kingdom 77-11-25 Denm 9. HAVE RIGHTS BEEN GRANTED IN THE U.S. O.	CHMENT SUBMINE VARIETY BE DO OF THIS VAR STATE VARIETY BE DO OF THE VARIETY BE AND 79-12 BOTHER COUNTY	c. X Exhibit C, Object from Plant Variet d. X Exhibit D, Additional Section of the section of th	onal Descri	ption of the Variety Y AS A CLASS OF CERT 6 and 17 below) CLASSES OF PRODUCT egistered Yes (If "Yes, of countries a following of countries and countries a following of countries a following o	IFIED No ION Certified "give name and dates) "give name and dates)	
1 1	Laurel 2.76 4. CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX FOR EACH ATTACA a. Exhibit A, Origin and Breeding History of the Section 52 of the Plant Variety Protection Accordance with supplied the Section 52 of the Plant Variety Protection Accordance with supplied the Section 52 of the Plant Variety Protection Accordance with supplied the Section 83 (a) of the Plant Variety Protection Accordance 94 (b) Section 83 (a) of the Plant Variety Protection Accordance 94 (c) Section 83 (a) of the Plant Variety Protection Accordance 94 (c) Section 83 (a) of the Plant Variety Protection Accordance 94 (c) Section 83 (a) of the Plant Variety Protection Accordance 94 (c) Section 83 (a) of the Plant Variety Protection Accordance 94 (c) Section 83 (a) of the Plant Variety Protection Accordance 94 (c) Section 83 (a) of the Plant Variety Protection Accordance 94 (c) Section 83 (a) of the Plant Variety Protection Accordance 94 (c) Section 83 (a) of the Plant Variety Protection Accordance 94 (c) Section 83 (a) of the Plant Variety Protection Accordance 94 (c) Section 83 (a) of the Plant Variety Protection Accordance 94 (c) Section 83 (a) of the Plant Variety Protection Accordance 94 (c) Section 83 (a) of the Plant Variety Protection Accordance 94 (c) Section 83 (a) of the Plant Variety Protection Accordance 94 (c) Section 83 (a) of the Plant Variety Protection Accordance 94 (c) Section 83 (a) of the Plant Variety Protection Accordance 94 (c) Section 83 (a) of the Plant Variety Protection Accordance 94 (c) Section 83 (a) of the Plant Variety Protection Accordance 94 (c) Section 83 (c) Section 84	CHMENT SUBMI E Variety (See ct.) D OF THIS VAR Atection Act.) S VARIETY BE ON OF THE VAR lany 79-12 ark 79-12 R OTHER COUN	c. X Exhibit C, Object from Plant Variet d. X Exhibit D, Additional Section 17. IF "YES" TO ITEM BEYOND BREEDER Foundation Section 18 Sweden 79-12-15 ITRIES?	onal Description on the contract of the contra	ption of the Variety Y AS A CLASS OF CERT 16 and 17 below) CLASSES OF PRODUCT egistered X Yes (If "Yes, of countries a c	IFIED X No TON Certified " give name and dates) " give name and dates)	
1 1	Laurel 2.76 4. CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX FOR EACH ATTAC a. Exhibit A, Origin and Breeding History of the Section 52 of the Plant Variety Protection Acc b. Exhibit B, Novelty Statement 5. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT SEED SEED? (See Section 83(a) of the Plant Variety Proceedings of the Plant Variety Proceedings of the Plant Variety Proceeding As TO NUMBER OF GENERATIONS? Yes No 8. DID THE APPLICANT(S) FILE FOR PROTECTIONS (See Section 878-1-6 Germ United Kingdom 77-11-25 Denm 9. HAVE RIGHTS BEEN GRANTED IN THE U.S. Of The applicant(s) declare(s) that a viable samp	CHMENT SUBMI E Variety (See ct.) D OF THIS VAR Stection Act.) S VARIETY BE ON OF THE VAR lany 79-12 lark 79-12 R OTHER COUN le of basic seed ser(s) of this seed	c. X Exhibit C, Object from Plant Varies d. X Exhibit D, Addition ETY BE SOLD BY VARIETY N Yes (If "Yes," and BEYOND BREEDER Foundation HETY IN THE U.S. OR OTHER 12 Sweden 79-12- 5 ITRIES?	onal Description on the contract of the contra	ption of the Variety Y AS A CLASS OF CERT 16 and 17 below) CLASSES OF PRODUCT egistered S? X Yes (If "Yes, of countries a X No the application and will and believe(s) that the years.	IFIED No TION Certified "give name and dates) "give name and dates)	
1 1	Laurel 2.76 4. CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX FOR EACH ATTAC a. Exhibit A, Origin and Breeding History of the Section 52 of the Plant Variety Protection Act b. Exhibit B, Novelty Statement 5. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT SEED SEED? (See Section 83(a) of the Plant Variety Profession 1.00 of the Plant Vari	CHMENT SUBMI CHMENT SUBMI E Variety (See ct.) D OF THIS VAR DECTION Act.) S VARIETY BE DN OF THE VAR EARLY 79-12 R OTHER COUN R OTHER COUN R OTHER COUN Ile of basic seed ich regulations er(s) of this serection 41, and i	c. X Exhibit C, Object from Plant Variet d. X Exhibit D, Additional Yes (If "Yes," and Yes (If "Yes," and BEYOND BREEDER Foundation ITETY IN THE U.S. OR OTHER -12 Sweden 79-12-15 ITRIES? Is of this variety will be furnias may be applicable. Sweden over plants entitled to protection under the sweden of the sweden of the sweden over plants as may be applicable.	onal Description on the contract of the contra	ption of the Variety Y AS A CLASS OF CERT 16 and 17 below) CLASSES OF PRODUCT egistered Yes (If "Yes, of countries a for	IFIED X No TON Certified " give name and dates) " give name and dates)	
1 1 2	Laurel 4. CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX FOR EACH ATTAC a. Exhibit A, Origin and Breeding History of the Section 52 of the Plant Variety Protection Acc b. Exhibit B, Novelty Statement 5. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT SEED SEED? (See Section 83(a) of the Plant Variety Proceedings of the Plant Variety Proceedings of the Plant Variety Proceedings of Section 83 (a) of the Plant Variety Proceedings of Section 83 (a) of the Plant Variety Proceedings of Section 83 (a) of the Plant Variety Proceedings of Section 83 (a) of the Plant Variety Proceedings of the Plan	CHMENT SUBMI CHMENT SUBMI E Variety (See ct.) D OF THIS VAR DECTION Act.) S VARIETY BE DN OF THE VAR EARLY 79-12 R OTHER COUN R OTHER COUN R OTHER COUN Ile of basic seed ich regulations er(s) of this serection 41, and i	c. X Exhibit C, Object from Plant Variet d. X Exhibit D, Additional Yes (If "Yes," and Yes (If "Yes," and BEYOND BREEDER Foundation ITETY IN THE U.S. OR OTHER -12 Sweden 79-12-15 ITRIES? Is of this variety will be furnias may be applicable. Sweden over plants entitled to protection under the sweden of the sweden of the sweden over plants as may be applicable.	onal Description on the contract of the contra	ption of the Variety Y AS A CLASS OF CERT 16 and 17 below) CLASSES OF PRODUCT egistered Yes (If "Yes, of countries a for	IFIED X No TON Certified " give name, and dates) " give name, and dates)	
1 1 2	Laurel 2.76 4. CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX FOR EACH ATTAC a. Exhibit A, Origin and Breeding History of the Section 52 of the Plant Variety Protection Act b. Exhibit B, Novelty Statement 5. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT SEED SEED? (See Section 83(a) of the Plant Variety Protection Act 6. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT THIS LIMITED AS TO NUMBER OF GENERATIONS? Yes No 8. DID THE APPLICANT(S) FILE FOR PROTECTION Netherlands 78-1-6 Germ United Kingdom 77-11-25 Denm 9. HAVE RIGHTS BEEN GRANTED IN THE U.S. Of The applicant(s) declare(s) that a viable samp plenished upon request in accordance with su The undersigned applicant(s) is (are) the own distinct, uniform, and stable as required in Se Variety Protection Act. Applicant(s) is (are) informed that false represents.	CHMENT SUBMI CHMENT SUBMI E Variety (See ct.) D OF THIS VAR DECTION Act.) S VARIETY BE DN OF THE VAR EARLY 79-12 R OTHER COUN R OTHER COUN R OTHER COUN Ile of basic seed ich regulations er(s) of this serection 41, and i	c. X Exhibit C, Object from Plant Variet d. X Exhibit D, Additional Yes (If "Yes," and Yes (If "Yes," and BEYOND BREEDER Foundation ITETY IN THE U.S. OR OTHER -12 Sweden 79-12-15 ITRIES? Is of this variety will be furnias may be applicable. Sweden over plants entitled to protection under the sweden of the sweden of the sweden over plants as may be applicable.	onal Description on the contract of the contra	ption of the Variety Y AS A CLASS OF CERT 16 and 17 below) CLASSES OF PRODUCT egistered Yes (If "Yes, of countries a coun	IFIED X No TON Certified " give name: and dates) " give name: and dates)	

INSTRUCTIONS

General: Send an original copy of the application and exhibits, at least 2,500 viable seeds, and \$500 fee (\$250 filing fee and \$250 examination fee) to U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Marketing Service, Livestock, Meat, Grain and Seed Division, Plant Variety Protection Office, National Agricultural Library Building, Beltsville, Maryland 20705. (See section 180.175 of the Regulations and Rules of Practice.) Retain one copy for your files. All items on the face of the form are self-explanatory unless noted below.

tem

- Give the date the applicant determined that he had a new variety based on (1) the definition in section 41(a) of the Act and (2) the date a decision was made to increase the seed.
- Give: (1) the genealogy, including public and commercial varieties, lines, or clones used, and the breeding method; (2) the details of subsequent stages of selection and multiplication; (3) the type and frequency of variants during reproduction and multiplication and state how these variants may be identified and (4) evidence of uniformity and stability.
- Give a summary statement of the variety's novelty. Clearly state how this novel variety may be distinguished from all other varieties in the same crop. If the new variety most closely resembles one or a group of related varieties: (1) identify these varieties and state all differences objectively; (2) attach statistical data for characters expressed numerically and demonstrate that these differences are significant; and (3) submit, if helpful, seed and plant specimens or photographs of seed and plant comparisons clearly indicating novelty.
- 14c Fill in the Exhibit C, Objective Description form, for all characteristics for which you have adequate data.
- Describe any additional characteristics that are not described, or whose description cannot be accurately conveyed in Exhibit C. Use comparative varieties as is necessary to reveal more accurately the description of characteristics that are difficult to describe, such as plant habit, plant color, disease resistance, etc.
- If "Yes" is specified (seed of this variety be sold by variety name only as a class of certified seed) the applicant may NOT reverse his affirmative decision after the variety has either been sold and so labeled, his decision published, or the certificate has been issued. However, if the applicant specified "No," he may change his choice. (See section 180.16 of the Regulations and Rules of Practice.)
- See section 42 of the Plant Variety Protection Act and section 180.7 of the Regulations and Rules of Practice.

GPO 890-696



Exhibit A. Origin and Breeding History of the variety.

'Ranger' perennial ryegrass is an advanced generation synthetic cultivar selected from the progenies of seven clones. The seven clones were developed at the New Jersey Agricultural Experiment Station, New Brunswick, USA in a recurrent selection program to improve resistance to crown rust and a winter brown blight disease.

Crosses were made from plants selected from 'Diplomat', 'Pennfine', Omega, Syn F (a late maturing synthetic developed by the New Jersey Agricultural Experiment Station), 'Manhattan', K-79 (a 80-clone synthetic derived from germplasm collected in Central Park in New York City), and L4H (a selection from a school playground in Baltimore, Maryland). Seedlings from these crosses were screened for resistance to crown rust incited by Puccinia coronata Corda var. Lolii Brown. Four thousand of the most resistant plants were transferred to a spacedplant nursery at Adelphia, New Jersey. Over 200 clones were selected from this nursery on the basis of uniform maturity, attractive appearance, freedom from disease, and promising seed yield potential. Polycross progenies of these clones were seeded in turf trials and evaluated for attractive appearance, resistance to the winter brown blight disease caused by Drechslera spp., turf performance, and improved mowing qualities. Tillers were subsequently removed from turf plots of the seven highest ranking progenies and transferred to an isolated, spaced-plant nursery. The least promising plants were removed from this nursery prior to anthesis. Seed was then harvested from the remaining 407 plants. The maternal origin of these plants were as follows:

1. I	R-4 Manhattan clone x Pennfine selection	135	plants
2. I	R-3 Pennfine selection	64	plants
3. I	R-1 Diplomat selection	56	plants
4. I	R-2 Syn F selection	44	plants
5. F	R-5 Omega selection	42	plants
6. H	R-7 Diplomat selection	36	plants
7. F	R-6 Diplomat selection •	30	plants

A subsequent cycle of reselection was made in a spaced-plant nursery, grown in Rilland, the Netherlands: 5% of the plants were removed before flowering. Syn-1 seed was harvested from 2000 plants in 1977. Syn-2 seed was produced in 1978.

Syn-1 and Syn-2 seed of Ranger were compared as spaced plants. No variants were observed in 2 generations of reproduction and the variety proved to be stable during the 2 generations of reproduction.

In 1978 it was decided to produce enough breeder seed for the anticipated need over the next 15 years and to release the variety Ranger.

Exhibit B. Novelty Statement. WH 10/20/83

Ranger resembles the variety Yorktown II but differs from it in the following characteristics:

- plant height at emergence. Ranger is taller than Yorktown II
- length of flag leaf: flag leaves of Ranger are taller than those of Yorktown II
- mature plant height: Ranger is taller than Yorktown II
- spike length : the spikes of Ranger are taller than those of Yorktown II
- weight of 10 spikes: the spikes of Ranger are heavier than those of Yorktown II
- Data from Plant Breeding Station D.J. van der Have B.V., Rilland. Measured on 60 plants in 3 replicates.
 Mature plant height (cm)

	I	II	III	Mean	LSD 0.05
Ranger Yorktown II Manhattan Loretta Pelo	73 69 82 67 83	73 68 83 67 85	73 67 86 74 79	73 68 84 69 82	4.9
Spike length (mm)					
	I	II	III	Mean	LSD 0.05
Ranger Yorktown II Manhattan Loretta Pelo	19.9 18.9 23.0 21.5 22.0	20.9 18.8 22.8 21.3 22.7	21.0 18.6 23.4 23.3 21.3	20.6 18.8 23.1 22.0 22.0	1.2
Weight of 10 spikes	(g)			•	
	I	II	III	Mean	LSD 0.05
Ranger Yorktown II Manhattan Loretta Pelo	3.2 3.1 3.4 2.8 2.8	3.2 2.5 3.2 3.1 2.9	3.4 2.5 3.0 3.1 2.5	3.3 2.7 3.2 3.0 2.7	0.4

- Data provided by the Bundessortenamt, Hannover, Germany.

•	Ranger	Yorktown II	LSD 0.01
Plant height at ear emergence (cm)	36.63	30.16	2.94
Length of flag leaf (mm)	145.50	113.83	13,95
Mature plant height (cm)	64.57	59.32	3.95
Spike length (cm)	16.68	14.30	1.41

Measured on 60 plants per variety .

Ranger has a significant longer plant height at ear emergence, a longer flag leaf, a longer plant height at maturity and a longer spike.

- Data provided by the Statens Forsogsstation, Tystofte, Denmark.

	Ranger	Barloft	
Mature plant height (cm)	80,88	66.47	P 〈 0.001
Spike length (cm)	16.96	14.72	P < 0.001

Ranger has a significant longer plant height at maturity and a longer spike.

FORM GR-470-36	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRI	FORM APPROVED: OMB NO. 40-R3
(9-76)	AGRICULTURAL MARKETING	G SERVICE CELEM SCEDE
\$ 1 PAG. PER 1,000 5260	HYATTSVILLE, MARYLAND OBJECTIVE DESCRIPTION OF	D 20782 1 9 4 4 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
SE, SED:	RYEGRASS	COFINAMA
NAME OF ARRIVA	(Lolium spp.)	
NAME OF APPLICANTS Kweekbedr	íjf en Zaadhandel	RANGER
D.J. van der Have B.		
ADDRESS (Sweet and No., or R.F.D. No., Ci	ity, State, and the Code)	FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
4/20 AA Kapelle.	The family of the control on the control of 2003 of process, it is not been broken production can be absorbed a	8200184
The post of the second	orte	0200104
amber 11 either 99 or less or 9 or less. Description	ons of characters should represent those t	kes below. Place a zero in first box (e.g. 0 8 9 or 0 9), that are typical for the variety. Ranges may be given also. Mean at cannot be adequately described in the form below. Append
1.00 SPECIES: 00 monages		The second of th
2 1 = L. MULTIFLORUM (annual or Itali	ian: includes Westerwoldicum) 2 = L.	PERENNE (perennial) 3 = L. RIGIDUM (includes Wimm
4 PARTO (of species)	5 = OT	HER (Specify)
New PLOTO Y Commence of the second se	en e	a di anti-anti-anti-anti-anti-anti-anti-anti-
1 1 = DIPLOID 2 = TETHA	APLOID 3 = ÖT	- SPEKELET LEEGTH KEARLY BOUAL TO OUTER GLUN HEN KONSTANDEN KUCH LONGER THAN OUTER
	The second of the	- reive, in tendric vessi y bough To outlis GLUA
L DURATION:		
3 1 = ANNUAL OR BIENNIAL 2 = 5	SHORT LIVED PERENNIAL (3-4 year	s) 3 = PERENNIAL (more than 4 years)
- The second sec	STANDARD CULTIVAL	RS***
= GULF 2 = WIMMI 5 = NORLEA 6 = ABER)	ERA 62 3 + LIN	N WW YMM COMMAN 4 = PELO
	rds from above for comparison:	NHATTAN 8 = PENNFINE
will the consider the property of the con-	•	menong.
5 = MEDIUM 7.=/LATE	6 DAYS EARLIER T	THAN A STANDARD CULTIVAR
9 = VERY LATE	DAYS LATER THE	STANDARD CULTIVAR
		L
. MATURE PLANT HEIGHT (Use standard &	cultivars from above) :	→ www.den
EN EZ US ECMENIGNALE BATTE	1 1 CM. SHORTER	THAN 7 STANDARD CULTIVAR
8 1000 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1		V
OCM.ATAELERSTHAN	STANDARD CULTIVAR	3
PERCENT WINTER DAMAGE (estimated a	s percent of the area appearing dead).	Use standard cultivars from above for comparison:
		Jan atardard cultivare from anove
PERCENT DAMAGE OF APPLY 1915 CONTROL OF APPLY 1915		THE THE PERSON OF THE WAS IN THE CASE TO SEE THE SEE T
PERCENT DAMAGE OF	STANDARD CULTIVAR	3
The state of the s	for the formation appears something	Note 2 g
	rom above:	
TURF DENSITY Use standard cultivars fr		
TORCES INVA		
2 9 7 TILLERS PER 100 SQ. CM.		iss standabo custivaes from above
2 9 7 TILLERS PER 100 SQ. CM.	CM. THAN STANDARD	
2 9 7 TILLERS PER 100 SQ. CM.	CM. THAN STANDARD	CULTIVAR
2 9 7 TILLERS PER 100 SQ. CM. SHOWLD LESS TILLERS PER 100 SQ. VAN 20135 FERGLA 200 MORE TILLERS PER 100 SQ.	CM. THAN STANDARD	CULTIVAR
2 9 7 TILLERS PER 100 SQ. CM. SHOWLES AREA LESS TILLERS PER 100 SQ. MORE TILLERS PER 100 SQ.	CM. THAN STANDARD	CULTIVAR
TILLERS PER 100 SQ. CM. SHOWLES LINER LESS TILLERS PER 100 SQ. MAY 22-105 FEXCELLE 1115 MORE TILLERS PER 100 SQ. FLAG LEAF (at full growth) Use standard	CM. THAN STANDARD O	CULTIVAR CULTIVAR 3 0 8508 03559
2 9 7 TILLERS PER 100 SQ. CM. LESS TILLERS PER 100 SQ. MORE TILLERS PER 100 SQ. FLAG LEAF (at full growth) Use standard CM. LENGTH (from ligule to t	CM. THAN	CULTIVAR CULTIVAR A SESSE SISSEM S MEDICY CHESS MUDTH (at widest point) & A SESSON CHESS
2 9 7 TILLERS PER 100 SQ. CM. LESS TILLERS PER 100 SQ. MORE TILLERS PER 100 SQ. FLAG LEAF (at full growth) Use standard CM. LENGTH (from liquie to	CM. THAN	CULTIVAR CULTIVAR 3 A SEAS SISSEM A WIDTH (at widest point) & A SEAS ON CHEEK CULTIVAR 7 FLAG LEAF AT 3 = RECURVED BOOT STAGE: 5 = HORIZONTAL
TILLERS PER 100 SQ. CM. LESS TILLERS PER 100 SQ. MORE TILLERS PER 100 SQ. FLAG LEAF (at full growth) Use standard CM. LENGTH (from ligule to the standard standar	CM. THAN	CULTIVAR CULTIVAR 3 6 6 6 6 6 5 5 5 4 4. WIDTH (at widest point) 2 4 6 7 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
TILLERS PER 100 SQ. CM. LESS TILLERS PER 100 SQ. MORE TILLERS PER 100 SQ. FLAG LEAF (at full growth) Use standard CM. LENGTH (from ligule to	CM. THAN STANDARD (CM, THAN 7 STAND	CULTIVAR CULTIVAR A SESSE COSES A WEIGH COSES A

FORM GR-470-36 (9-76)		Elevisyen chelphyn	PAGE 20F
property of the second second	- K · · · ·	ARD CULTIVARS	- DECO
= GULF 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 20		7 = MANHATTAN	4 = PELO 8 = PENNFINE
LEAVES: NE LONGED FACE		ាលប្រាស់ ស្រួល បានសាលាសាសាស្ត្រ 🧍 📑	9 × 8 58 C.3
3 VERNATION: 2 = LEAVES SEMI-ROLLER		4	TO PENERSON
The state of the s	1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Section And Contract Contract (1)	THE PROPERTY OF SEASONS FOR
3 = LEAVES FOLDED IN Y	, 	Marie de la Companya	: : 35E EUXES
100 % PLANTS WITH ANTHOCYANII	N IN LOWER LEAF S	HEATH 3 FOLIAGE COL	
, SPIKE:	Name 25 Acrossors	territoria de la composição de la compos	<u>, in the second of the second</u>
2 0 6 MM. SPIKE LENGTH (tip to inter	rnode below lowest flo		
25 MM. SHORTER THAN		.[7]	
### LONGER THAN		. USE STANDARD CL	ILTIVARS FROM ABOVE
3 3 0 0 MG, PER TEN SPIKES (trin	erit der ver regjet Vitalist eritet vitalisten hat best in station in station of the sec		and the second of the contest of the
	\$2, 4 0000000		
MG. LIGHTER PER TEN SI		USE STANDARD CO	JLTIVARS FROM ABOVE
1 0 0 MG. HEAVIER REPOTEN SPIKES	iJHAN ga vac v	talika karangan kara Karangan karangan ka	ika 1994 - Santa Bartin (1966) gala Santanan kalandaran 1974 Kanadaran dari kalandaran kalandaran 1985 - Santanan Kalandaran Kalandaran (1986)
7 FLORETS PER SPIKELET	248 M/M(M)	1.000 (1.00 4)	
PERCENTAGE OF PLANTS WITH:		villen i dørr klampt i litter	Tarana da
AACHIS: 4 1 10 40 % SMOOTH	Author Bakersky skymbology .	0 % ROUGH	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
en e	and the second s	en e	TANK ADVADORAL AME
PIKE COLOR: 1 0 0 % GREEN	FRAN	A PURPLE	CAVEDVAD CALITARE
entranta de la contrata de la companya de la compa		ROPA .	a a meri a compania de la compania del la compania de la compania de la compania del la compania de la compania de la compania del la
LEMMA: 0 % AWNED TO		0 MM. AWN LE	0 - A AMMERIANS NGTH [®] 1 - ARMER
en e	BL CIAFO SERVICE	e de la composició de la c La composició de la compo	na anisa da alam no mandra maria di dia mandra mandra di mandra mandra mandra mandra mandra mandra mandra mand Na 1888 - Nobel Maria di Maria
en de la companya de La companya de la co			
7 7 MM. GLUME LENGTH	na demaka dan dan dan dan dan dan dan dan dan da	1 2 = SPIKELET LENGT	H NEARLY EQUAL TO OUTER GLUMP H MUCH LONGER THAN OUTER
1. COLEOPTILE:	enterassor the word for advisor view and view with	GLUMES	and the state of t
10 0 % PLANTS WITH ANTHOCYANU	N IN COLEOPTILE	er i Charles (1940), An 1997 (1977) An 1944 (1978), An 1997 (1977)	the strings of the agreement
2. ANTHER COLOR:	er in die Fernande werde der desemble in die des de desemble der desemble der desemble der desemble des desemble des desemble des	where the second stay is a second second second second second	and the second supplies the second se
0 % PLANTS WITH WHITE ANTHE	ghas a chota ring no miner BS Seas Aptronomic no miner		TH YELLOW ANTHERS
% PLANTS WITH PURPLE ANTH	Comment to the second second		
3. ROOT AND PLANT CHARACTERS:			
1 0 0 % PLANTS WITH PROSTRATE G	ROWTH HABIT	0 %PLANTS.WI	TH ELUROESCENT ROOTS
% PLANTS WITH UPRIGHT GRO	WTH HABIT	ger flyg gydd achar ac ac ac ac a cae a	Ospanje i policija se izbraja a se medica kij
4. SEED:		· 表 多 4	4
7 1 0 MG. PER 1,000 SEED		M TOTAL LENGTH OF 10	1 1 2 MM. TOTAL WIDTH

COMMENTS:

Ranger shows a very good resistance to crown rust (Puccinia coronata) and a good resistance to red thread (Lactisaria fuciformis).

The variety shows a very good recovery after severe summer droughts.

Its resistance to artifical wear and tear is very good. The RIVRO/ Wageningen has obtained the following figures in an experiment conducted over a number of years:

Treading resistance (scale 1-9, 9 = best)

Ranger	8.5
Barloft	7.5
Manhattan	8.5
Loretta	7.5
Barry	8.0